

Motion to Recommend Denial

“I move to recommend adoption of the proposed Consistency Statement dated April 28, 2026, contained in the agenda materials and to recommend denial of the zoning amendment.”

Comprehensive Plan Consistency Statement

April 28, 2026

Zoning case no. *Z-57-25*

Address: *8020 Litchford Road*

Applicant: *Bronwyn Redus, McAdams Co, on behalf of Trustee of The Lynn Hawthorne Revocable Living Trust, The Teresa Vernetta Hawthorne Supplemental Needs Trust, The Anthony Todd Hawthorne Irrevocable Family Trust*

After considering the policies, maps, and other materials included as a part of the comprehensive plan, the Planning Commission determines that the proposed zoning amendment is **INCONSISTENT** with the Comprehensive Plan and other adopted plans, and **CONSISTENT** with the Future Land Use Map, and the request should be **DENIED**.

The action taken is reasonable and in the public interest because:

- The request would result in development that is not in the public interest.

Rezoning Request Motion Worksheet

"I move to recommend of rezoning case Z-____-_____.

The rezoning case is with the Future Land Use Map and with the 2030 Comprehensive Plan."

APPROVE

"The rezoning request *is* reasonable and in the public interest because it:

Example statements:

Housing

- "Increases the housing supply in the area."
- "Allows additional types of housing."
- "Guarantees affordable housing."

Infill & Compatibility

- "Is compatible with the surrounding area."
- "Includes conditions that will mitigate the impact on adjacent properties."
- "Enables development of a constrained/underutilized site."
- "Reduces or removes impacts on historic resources."

Transportation

- "Increases development intensity in an area with high quality transit access."
- "Extends the street grid and/or eliminates gaps in the transportation system."
- "Creates additional connections to the greenway system."

Environment

- "Preserves open space."
- "Protects ecologically sensitive areas."
- "Controls stormwater above and beyond UDO requirements."
- "Includes additional tree protection."

Other

- Some other public benefit(s)*

DENY

"The rezoning request *is not* reasonable and in the public interest because it:

Example statements:

Housing

- "Restricts the housing supply in the area."
- "Reduces housing choices."
- "Does not increase housing affordability."

Infill & Compatibility

- "Is not compatible with the surrounding area."
- "Does not include appropriate transition requirements."
- "Damages or destroys historic resources."

Transportation

- "Does not have adequate access to transit."
- "Impedes connectivity and/or actively obstructs the Street Plan."
- "Does not create a safe environment for pedestrian and/or cyclists."

Environment

- "Does not provide sufficient open space."
- "Could harm ecologically sensitive areas."
- "Could worsen existing stormwater issues."
- "Could result in a loss of valuable mature trees."

Other

- Some other public detriment(s)*